PROPOSAL REVIEW **Thrasher Research Fund**

|  |
| --- |
| Instructions: Use as much space as you feel is necessary to address the issue, but limit the review to four pages. The cells will expand to accommodate larger entries. Please save the form and send it back to Allison F. Martinez as an e-mail attachment: [**MartinezAF@thrasherresearch.org**](mailto:MartinezAF@thrasherresearch.org) |
| Principal Investigator (PI): |
| Project Name: |
| Reviewer: |
| Date: Please return by: |
| *SIGNIFICANCE:* How significant is the child health problem addressed by this proposal? How will the proposed study add to or enhance the currently available methods to prevent, treat or manage this particular health problem? How far would the results be from practical clinical applicability, both in terms of time and technical barriers? |
|  |
| *APPROACH:* Is the study hypothesis-driven? Is this a novel hypothesis or research question? How well do existing data support the current hypothesis? Are the aims and objectives appropriate for the hypothesis being tested? Are the methodology and evaluation component adequate to provide a convincing test of the hypothesis? Have the applicants adequately accounted for potential confounders? Are there any methodological weaknesses? If there are methodological weaknesses, how may they be corrected? Is the statistical analysis adequate? |
|  |
| *FEASIBILITY:* Comment on how well qualified the research team is to carry out the study. Is it feasible to carry out the project in the proposed location(s)? Can the project be accomplished within the proposed time period? Are the results likely to lead to interventions that are culturally, financially, and technically feasible? To what extent will the results be generalizable? Is the budget appropriate? Are the sample size calculations reasonable? Is there sufficient evidence in the application that the proposed sample size can be enrolled and retained? |
|  |
| *ETHICS:* Are there ethical concerns that have not been sufficiently addressed? In what ways could any ethical concerns be addressed? |
|  |
| *SUMMARY:* Please provide a summary paragraph of your review. Include any issues you feel are important but have not been covered. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| The Thrasher Research Fund may provide the PI the opportunity to make revisions or clarifications in response to blinded reviewers’ concerns. Many research projects are strengthened by this peer feedback prior to a funding decision. Please recommend one of three options: **1)** reject **2)** return to the PI to make clarifications or revisions **3)** recommend for funding as is. If the PI is asked to respond, reviewers may be asked to participate in a conference call to consider the strength of the response and make a final recommendation.  **Reviewer Recommendation:** |

**Score 1-7** (Higher being a better project):